

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/750,330 12/30/ | | 2/30/2003 | /2003 William H. Whitted | GOOGP015 | 4689 | |
| 23689 | 7590 06/01/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Jung-hua Kuo | | | | JIANG, CHEN WEN | | |
| Attorney At Law PO Box 3275 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Los Altos, C | | | 3744 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | | |
|-----|---|---|
| | _ | , |
| - 4 | • | • |
| | _ | |

| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac | tion Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050520 |
|---|---|---|
| Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1012. | | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not re | eceived. |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | olication No |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | |
| 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a | | objected to by the Examiner. |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | • |
| | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 4.18 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-17,19-29 and 31-41</u> is/are rejec | ted. | • |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | With Horn Consideration. | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | - |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| | .x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. | 11, 400 O.G. 210. |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | • • |
| ·- | action is non-final. | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O | | |
| Status | | - |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABA | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO | NTH(S) FROM |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| | Chen-Wen Jiang | 3744 |
| Office Action Summary | 10/750,330 Examiner | WHITTED, WILLIAM H. |
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | Annlianting M. | Ampliac 4/-1 |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-17,19-29 and 31-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bash et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,786,056).

In regard to claims 1 and 13, Bash et al. disclose a cooling system with evaporators distributed in parallel for future data center involved many small (1,000 to 2,000 sq. ft.) and readily deployable data centers that are skin to portable building or shipping containers (col.2, lines 35-38). Bash et al. also disclose the cooling of the data center. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the data center of Bash et al. to a shipping container as described future data center. In regard to claims 2,3,5-12,14-17,19-29 and 31-41, official notice is taken these limitations are either obvious or used in the prior art which including Applicant provided prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4,18 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,330

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

Page 3

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fink (U.S. Patent Number 6,859,366), Koike (U.S. Patent Number 5,544,012) and Spinazzola et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,494,050) are made of record as relevant prior art.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner

ner)